



Press Distribution Charter

Stage 3 - Independent Arbitration Decision

PDC Reference Number:	PDC/126466/300518	Date First Issued:	07/06/2018
Name of Arbitrator: Neil Robinson			
Date complaint sent to Arbitrator:	14/05/2018 (Further and Better Particulars required)		

In the matter of the Arbitration Act 1996 and in the matter of a dispute between Mr Retailer and Menzies Distribution Limited (MD), Telford Way, 41 Industrial Estate, Wakefield, WF2 0XW. This complaint concerns alleged failure by Menzies Distribution Limited to deliver to the retailer by the RDT each day or by the Scheduled Delivery Time (SDT) where no RDT agreement exists contrary to the MD 'Customer Service Pledge' Third Edition.

Mr Retailer complains that on 02/03/2018 he received no newspaper or magazine supplies from MD and had no returns collected. He submitted a Wholesale Fast Track Restitution Claim for a refund of his Carriage Service Charge and the loss of profit on the average sales of his news products. MD did not award him any restitution.

MD confirms that it was unable to deliver to Mr Retailer on the day in question due to extreme weather conditions (significant snow fall) which resulted in the Meteorological Office issuing an amber alert across the Bradford area. Due to the weather conditions staff and contractors were unable to travel to the branch from their homes and this impacted on MD's ability to deliver to stores in the area. Furthermore, police advised people not to travel. A total of 14 drivers and packers did not turn up for work. There was a driver for Mr Retailer's round, but due to the fact his store is on a hill he was inaccessible. MD did try and contact Mr Retailer to advise him of the situation. In these circumstance MD maintains that the non-delivery was 'beyond its control' and as such falls under the *force majeure* provision at Section 12 A of its Terms and Conditions.

Having carefully considered all of the evidence before me, I adjudicate as follows:

1. This complaint does not fall within my jurisdiction as it is only a claim for restitution under MD's 'Customer Service Pledge - Third Edition' pages 36 and 37 'Our Pledge on Restitution', as such an internal process which is not a provision covered by the industry self regulation process . In these circumstances, there is no ability to refer the case to me as Independent Arbitrator. Had the matter first been raised as a Stage 2 Press Distribution Charter (PDC) Complaint or a Stage 2 complaint from within MD's own internal 'Our Pledge on Complaints' at pages 38 and 39 of 'Customer Service Pledge - Third Edition' there would have been the facility to refer it to me.

2. If Mr Retailer now wishes to raise this matter as a Stage 2 PDC, he must complete a formal Stage 2 Complaint Form and forward it to MD or the Press Distribution Review Panel Administrator at:

67a South Street Stanground Peterborough PE2 8EX

3. Before considering point (2) above I respectfully suggest that Mr Retailer takes account of the circumstances surrounding the incidents complained of:

a) There was an amber weather warning across most of the Bradford area on the day in question. This means that disruption was inevitable with road conditions extremely difficult and potentially dangerous. The basic advice to road users was "do not travel unless it is absolutely necessary".

Such unprecedented warnings had to have a negative input on MD's ability to undertake a normal supply, as staff and contractors may or may not have made it into their place of work and there was a real risk of delivery vehicles becoming stranded or crashing. MD, as a responsible employer, had a duty of care to its employees especially when undertaking work on behalf of MD.

b) MD's Terms and Conditions at 12 (a) provide that:

"Force Majeure

The Company <u>may partially or totally suspend</u>, with or without notice, <u>any supply of any</u> <u>Title</u> <u>and/or</u> <u>Goods</u> <u>during any period in which the supply of such Title and/or Goods to the Company or by the</u> <u>Company may be either prevented or delayed or hindered through any circumstances beyond its control</u> including, but without prejudice to the generality of the foregoing, any strikes, lock-outs, labour disputes of any kind, industrial action of any nature whatsoever (whether any of the foregoing relate to the Company's employees or others) fire, floods, storms, <u>weather</u>, health, acts of God, railway delays or cancellations, motor accident or serious traffic congestion, or any shortage or destruction of paper or petrol or diesel supply (or supply of any other necessary material or service) or if any Title is not published, and that the Customer will have no claim against the Company and the Company will not be liable to the Customer for any loss or damage howsoever arising or sustained as a result there from</u>."

This clause clearly gives MD the ability to fully or partially suspend the supply of newspapers and magazines to retailers if unforeseeable circumstances occur that are beyond its control. The weather conditions on the day in question would certainly enable this clause to apply. It follows that retailers would have no claim against MD for any losses suffered as a result of its partial or total failure to supply.

c) Restitution is about restoring the injured party to what has been lost and any restitution ordered should be for proven losses resulting directly from the breach taken over the period covered specifically by the complaint. In order to demonstrate the proven loss for unsold copy to the wholesaler at Stage 2 and to the Independent Arbitrator at Stage 3 it is necessary for the retailer to forward full details as set out in the 'Guidance Notes for Retailers'

Noil Robinson

Signature of Arbitrator:

Date: 10th June 2018

Seat of Arbitration: London, England.

Date form returned to PDC Administrator:

10/06/2018

Date Independent Arbitration Decision sent to Wholesaler & Retailer:

20/08/2018